

ANALYTIC METHODS		
$\min f(\mathbf{x})$	Unconstrained Optimality Conditions	1 Find all stationary points (points that satisfy $\nabla f = \mathbf{0}$) $\nabla f(\mathbf{x}_\dagger) = \mathbf{0}$
		2 If the Hessian is positive definite at a stationary point, the point is a minimum $\partial \mathbf{x}^T (\nabla^2 f(\mathbf{x}_\dagger)) \partial \mathbf{x} > 0$
$\min f(\mathbf{x})$ s.t. $\mathbf{h}(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{0}$	Reduced Gradient	1 Split variables \mathbf{x} into decision variables \mathbf{d} and state variables \mathbf{s} such that the number of state variables equals the number of independent constraints $f(\mathbf{x}) \Rightarrow f(\mathbf{d}, \mathbf{s})$
		2 Write perturbations of $\mathbf{h}(\mathbf{d}, \mathbf{s})$ using a first order approximation. From a feasible point \mathbf{x} , perturbations $\partial \mathbf{x}$ must be such that $\partial \mathbf{h} = \mathbf{0}$ $\partial \mathbf{h} = \frac{\partial \mathbf{h}}{\partial \mathbf{s}} \partial \mathbf{s} + \frac{\partial \mathbf{h}}{\partial \mathbf{d}} \partial \mathbf{d} = \mathbf{0}$
		3 Solve for $\partial \mathbf{s}$ as a function of $\partial \mathbf{d}$ (this restricts movement in \mathbf{x} to the constraint surface by restricting $\partial \mathbf{s}$ with respect to $\partial \mathbf{d}$) $\therefore \partial \mathbf{s} = -\left(\frac{\partial \mathbf{h}}{\partial \mathbf{s}}\right)^{-1} \left(\frac{\partial \mathbf{h}}{\partial \mathbf{d}}\right) \partial \mathbf{d}$
		4 Write the first order approximation of the perturbations for $f(\mathbf{d}, \mathbf{s})$, and substitute for $\partial \mathbf{s}$ $\partial f = \frac{\partial f}{\partial \mathbf{d}} \partial \mathbf{d} + \frac{\partial f}{\partial \mathbf{s}} \partial \mathbf{s} = \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial \mathbf{d}} - \frac{\partial f}{\partial \mathbf{s}} \left(\frac{\partial \mathbf{h}}{\partial \mathbf{s}}\right)^{-1} \frac{\partial \mathbf{h}}{\partial \mathbf{d}}\right) \partial \mathbf{d}$
$\min f(\mathbf{x})$ s.t. $\mathbf{h}(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{0}, \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{x}) \leq \mathbf{0}$	Monotonicity Analysis	1 Split $f(\mathbf{x})$ into monotonic regions
		2 For each region, write the monotonicity table
		3 Use MP1 and MP2 to determine constraint activity in order to eliminate a variable and/or constraints MP1: Every decreasing variable is bounded below by at least one active constraint (and vice versa). MP2: Every non-objective variable is bounded above by at least one constraint and below by at least one constraint. If such a variable can be proven to be well constrained in both directions, then it can be eliminated by combining the bounding constraints.
		4 Rewrite the reduced problem and repeat #3 until solution, or if the problem is reduced to an unconstrained problem, use unconstrained optimality conditions to find the minimum
$\min f(\mathbf{x})$ s.t. $\mathbf{h}(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{0}, \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{x}) \leq \mathbf{0}$	KKT Conditions	1 Write the Lagrangian function $L(\mathbf{x}) = f(\mathbf{x}) + \lambda^T \mathbf{h} + \mu^T \mathbf{g}$
		2 Solve system of equations with respect to \mathbf{x} , μ , and λ to find KKT points \mathbf{x}_\dagger <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <u>Feasibility:</u> the constraints are satisfied • <u>Stationarity:</u> the gradient of the Lagrangian function is zero • <u>Transversality:</u> $\mu = 0$ for inactive constraints • <u>Positivity:</u> Lagrange multipliers μ are nonnegative and λ are nonzero $\mathbf{h}(\mathbf{x}_\dagger) = \mathbf{0}, \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{x}_\dagger) \leq \mathbf{0}$ $\nabla L(\mathbf{x}_\dagger) = \mathbf{0}$ $\mu^T \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{x}_\dagger) = 0$ $\lambda \neq \mathbf{0}, \mu \geq \mathbf{0}$
		3 If the Hessian of the Lagrangian at the KKT point is positive definite in the subspace tangent to the active constraints, then the point is optimal $\partial \mathbf{x}^T (\nabla^2 L(\mathbf{x}_\dagger)) \partial \mathbf{x} > 0 \quad \forall \partial \mathbf{x} : \nabla \mathbf{h} \partial \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}$

ITERATIVE METHODS			
$\min f(\mathbf{x})$	Modified Steepest Descent	1	1 st order approximation to f $f(\mathbf{x}) \approx f(\mathbf{x}_0) + \nabla f(\mathbf{x}_0) d\mathbf{x}$
		2	Line search in the $-\nabla f$ direction (find a good value for α using line search) $\mathbf{x}_{n+1} = \mathbf{x}_n - \alpha \nabla f(\mathbf{x}_n)$
		3	Iterate to convergence
	Modified Newton's Method	1	2 nd order approximation to f $f(\mathbf{x}) \approx f(\mathbf{x}_0) + \nabla f(\mathbf{x}_0) d\mathbf{x} + \frac{1}{2} d\mathbf{x}^T (\nabla^2 f(\mathbf{x}_0)) d\mathbf{x}$
		2	Find minimum of the approximation using $\nabla f = \mathbf{0}$ $\nabla f(\mathbf{x}) \approx \nabla f(\mathbf{x}_0) + \nabla^2 f(\mathbf{x}_0) d\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}$ $\therefore d\mathbf{x} = -(\nabla^2 f)^{-1} \nabla f$
		3	Line search the actual function in the direction of the approximated minimum (find a good value for α using line search) $\mathbf{x}_{k+1} = \mathbf{x}_k - \alpha (\nabla^2 f_k)^{-1} \nabla f_k$
		4	Iterate to convergence
$** \min f(\mathbf{x}) \text{ s.t. } \mathbf{h}(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{0}$	GRC Generalized Reduced Gradient	1	Split variables \mathbf{x} into decision variables \mathbf{d} and state variables \mathbf{s} such that the number of state variables equals the number of independent constraints $f(\mathbf{x}) \Rightarrow f(\mathbf{d}, \mathbf{s})$
		2	Write perturbations of $\mathbf{h}(\mathbf{d}, \mathbf{s})$ using a first order approximation. From a feasible point \mathbf{x} , perturbations $\partial \mathbf{x}$ must be such that $\partial \mathbf{h} = \mathbf{0}$ $\partial \mathbf{h} = \frac{\partial \mathbf{h}}{\partial \mathbf{s}} \partial \mathbf{s} + \frac{\partial \mathbf{h}}{\partial \mathbf{d}} \partial \mathbf{d} = \mathbf{0}$
		3	Solve for $\partial \mathbf{s}$ as a function of $\partial \mathbf{d}$ (this restricts movement in \mathbf{x} to the constraint surface by restricting $\partial \mathbf{s}$ with respect to $\partial \mathbf{d}$) $\therefore \partial \mathbf{s} = -\left(\frac{\partial \mathbf{h}}{\partial \mathbf{s}}\right)^{-1} \left(\frac{\partial \mathbf{h}}{\partial \mathbf{d}}\right) \partial \mathbf{d}$
		4	Write the first order approximation of the perturbations for $f(\mathbf{d}, \mathbf{s})$, and substitute for $\partial \mathbf{s}$ $\partial f = \frac{\partial f}{\partial \mathbf{d}} \partial \mathbf{d} + \frac{\partial f}{\partial \mathbf{s}} \partial \mathbf{s} = \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial \mathbf{d}} - \frac{\partial f}{\partial \mathbf{s}} \left(\frac{\partial \mathbf{h}}{\partial \mathbf{s}}\right)^{-1} \frac{\partial \mathbf{h}}{\partial \mathbf{d}}\right) \partial \mathbf{d}$
		5	The new <i>unconstrained</i> function $z(\mathbf{d})$ is a projection of the $f(\mathbf{x})$ function onto the \mathbf{d} space $\therefore \frac{\partial z}{\partial \mathbf{d}} = \frac{\partial f}{\partial \mathbf{d}} - \frac{\partial f}{\partial \mathbf{s}} \left(\frac{\partial \mathbf{h}}{\partial \mathbf{s}}\right)^{-1} \left(\frac{\partial \mathbf{h}}{\partial \mathbf{d}}\right) = \mathbf{0}$
		6	Solve the unconstrained $z(\mathbf{d})$ minimization problem using one step of the <i>Steepest Descent</i> algorithm to find \mathbf{d}_{k+1} (<i>Newton's Method</i> could be used instead) $\mathbf{d}_{k+1} = \mathbf{d}_k - \alpha_k \left(\frac{\partial z}{\partial \mathbf{d}}\right)_k^T$
		7	Solve for \mathbf{s}'_{k+1} using the linearized constraint found in step 4 and the \mathbf{d}_{k+1} found in step 7. \mathbf{s}'_{k+1} will not necessarily be on the true constraint surface $\mathbf{s}'_{k+1} = \mathbf{s}_k - \left(\frac{\partial \mathbf{h}}{\partial \mathbf{s}}\right)_k^{-1} \left(\frac{\partial \mathbf{h}}{\partial \mathbf{d}}\right)_k \left(-\alpha_k \left(\frac{\partial z}{\partial \mathbf{d}}\right)_k^T\right)$
		8	Solve for the true \mathbf{s}_{k+1} using <i>Steepest Descent</i> (or <i>Newton's Method</i>) with the true constraint $\mathbf{h}(\mathbf{d}_{k+1}, \mathbf{s}_{k+1}) = \mathbf{0}$ with starting point \mathbf{s}'_{k+1} from step 8 (this is an inner iteration with index j). Here, the value of $\mathbf{h}(\mathbf{d}_{k+1}, \mathbf{s}_{k+1})_j$ functions as the step length for the inner iteration. Iterate to convergence, but if convergence fails, goto step 7 and use a smaller α_k . $[\mathbf{s}_{k+1}]_{j+1} = \left[\mathbf{s}_{k+1} - \mathbf{h}(\mathbf{d}_{k+1}, \mathbf{s}_{k+1}) \left(\frac{\partial \mathbf{h}}{\partial \mathbf{s}}\right)_{k+1}^{-1} \right]_j$
		9	Goto step 7 using the updated function for $\partial z / \partial \mathbf{d}$ (as calculated in step 6) and iterate until \mathbf{d} converges (solving the inner iteration for \mathbf{s} at each iteration k) $\left(\frac{\partial z}{\partial \mathbf{d}}\right)_{k+1} = \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial \mathbf{d}}\right)_{k+1} - \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial \mathbf{s}}\right)_{k+1} \left(\frac{\partial \mathbf{h}}{\partial \mathbf{s}}\right)_{k+1}^{-1} \left(\frac{\partial \mathbf{h}}{\partial \mathbf{d}}\right)_{k+1}$
SQP Sequential Quadratic Programming	1	Generate the QP approximation. This is equivalent to using Newton's method to solve for $\nabla L(\mathbf{x}, \lambda) = \mathbf{0}$ <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 2nd order approximation to the Lagrangian 1st order approximation of the constraints minimize $\hat{f}(\mathbf{s}_k) = L_k + (\nabla_{\mathbf{x}} L_k) \mathbf{s}_k + \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{s}_k^T (\nabla_{\mathbf{x}}^2 L_k) \mathbf{s}_k$ subject to $\hat{\mathbf{h}}(\mathbf{s}_k) = \mathbf{h}_k + \nabla \mathbf{h}_k \mathbf{s}_k = \mathbf{0}$	
	2	Solve the QP sub-problem to find search direction \mathbf{s}_k . KKT conditions are linear, so the system of equations can be solved explicitly, or a more sophisticated method can be used. $\nabla_{\mathbf{s}_k} \hat{L}(\mathbf{s}_k) = \nabla_{\mathbf{s}_k} \hat{f}(\mathbf{s}_k) + \hat{\lambda}^T \nabla_{\mathbf{s}_k} \hat{\mathbf{h}}(\mathbf{s}_k) = \mathbf{0}$ $\therefore \nabla_{\mathbf{x}} L_k + \mathbf{s}_k^T (\nabla_{\mathbf{x}}^2 L_k) + \hat{\lambda}^T \nabla \mathbf{h}_k = \mathbf{0}$	
	3	Line search a penalty (merit) formulation of the original problem in the direction of \mathbf{s}_k (find a good value for α using line search) $\mathbf{x}_{k+1} = \mathbf{x}_k + \alpha_k \mathbf{s}_k$	

** An active set strategy can be used for problems with inequality constraints